

\$Q\ YLHZV DQG RSLQLRQV H[SUHVVHG LQ WKLV DUWLFOH PD\ R



Selection dProjects

The selection of the portfolio of projects that will comprise the program must:

- ${\bf x} {\sf Optimi} {\bf \tilde{z}} \mbox{ multiple strategic business objectives }$
- xAddress sequencing required for optimization
- xAddress interdependencies between projects
- x Reflect readvorld resource constraints
- xEnhance program (and organizational) flexibility and resiliency

Programswhich experience weak project selection may have failed to:

xMaintain focus on strategic business objectives

- xPrevent biases from entering the process
- xEstablish a sufficiently strong methodology for project portfolio evaluation, often only considering primarySBOwithout attention to other such objectives
- xAppropriately cascade metrics to the assessment of project portfolio performance and ultimately individual project performance.
- xInadequately reflect uncertainty and risks in portfolio.evaluation

Weak project selection will result involve capture and, to the extent to which project selection appears to be driven by biases or other factors, undermine organizational honesty and openness.

dZ •]• (}CE sselled CE ign mšst be constantly monitored, in addition to monitoring project performance under program management. This ist hantar quaires increased focus in the engineering and construction industry. Changes in market conditions, resound skclewst sarints, execution performance may drive valueation of the portfolio or the project after it is underway, which shows that redeployment of resources is in the best interest of achieveing the grip cogram business objectives even when cousts and commitments are fully considered.

Termination of a previously selected project may be a simpler matter if it is performing below expectations (schedule delays, cost ov**burums)** en driven by a reduction in the benefits that will accrue oralue derived it is a much harder matter. Who wants to be the program manager who terminates a strong performing project (ahead of schedule, under budget)?

Optimize Multiple Strategic Business Objectives

A key attribute of project selection in major engineering and construction programmers is simultaneous by timize multiple strategic business objectives.

- o Political risks
- o Technology risks
- o Intellectual property risks
- o Business model risks
- o Projectexecution risks

Weak project evaluation methodologies that seek to reduce all benefits to-æksihegulvæluest such as NPV, must be avoided in recognition that:

xUncertainty in estimates is compounded

- xStructured multiviate risknalysis would produce a better assessment of risk
- xBenefits of later phase projects are not fully appreciated
- xChanges in risk profile over time are not recognized

Meet the ObjectiveAll the Objectives

On one giga program, the owner faced arbayaof stakeholders with often compeobjectives. He attempted to satisfy these needs by developing a broad, cothpatell would serve to satisfy all stakeholder groups in one grand sweep. He failed, how ensure that this grand vision ther strategic business objectives with respect and schedule. The immediate effect of this grand vision was to raise the bar fo À CEÇ •š I Z}o CE[• Ɖ š š]}v•X dZ }Áv CE }vš]vµ through a sies of further concessions until cost and schedule forecasts could n ignored. By then it was too late.

Strategic Program Management is built on defining a set of true, strategic busi and then developing a strategy to achievenderevery strategic business objective. ^š $CE \ SP] W CE P CE u D v P u v S] \cdot \mu] o S v SZ A CE v objectives. Strategic Program Management is not about placing primacy on one$ strategic objectives. To be successiful or griggemes require careful attention and selethe overarching strategic business objectives. These objectives cannot be a setwantsbut rather must be those things required for program success.

Avoiding Biash ProjectSelection

Objective assessment rests orderively objectives, constraints evaluation metrics that can be mapped to wedlefined evaluation criteria.

Contradictory evidence avoida	Contrait tory evidence avoid and gnoring facts that do n fit with your belief set or existing hypothesis. Often we deepest convictions are challenged by contradictory ev your beliefs get stronger.
Biased argument framing	Biasedargument framingoccurs when people react differently to something depending on whether it is pr as positive or negative. In other a/dedis ion is influence by how the information is presented rather than what said.
Anchoring	Anchoring ta cognitive bias whereby an individual's deci are influenced by a particular reference point or anchor numeric and nonumeric anchoring can occur. In numeric anchoring, once the value of the anchor is set, subseq argumentorestimates made by an individual may change what they would have otherwise been without the an

Reasoning by analogy	Reasoning banalogytacognitive process where one use
	comparison between two things to understand or solv
	problem. It involves identifying the underlying relations
	mapping them from one domain to another. Reasoning
	analogy is a type of inductive argumehtmodating it can b€
	valid or invalid depending on the strength of the simila
	the relevance of the differences.

Linear programming, also called linear opiomi maximum profit or lowest cost) in a mathem reltion/ships. Linear programming is a specia mathemtiocal opiomiztionn.

variables. If the number of variables is large, opiomal, then do solve such a problem may be too large.

Where b

The abnve capital allncaion/ model is cn/stra ptrtfolio does not exceed some maximum ca

This simple capital allnctioo/ model can be ex

Х

х

xFuture costs associated with implementation of a project (maintenance and, operating costs consumables)

xCosts associated with not doing a project

x Mutually exactive projects or project alternatives

xProject precedence

- xPartial project benefit interdependency
- xCost, schedule other benefit synergies
- xMultiperiod cost constraints
- xSensitivity to delay

dZ ^ ((]] vš & OE } vš] OE _

Solving the capital allocation model does not result in a singular solution but rather an extensive sol set that may be considered by looking at:

xRisk adjustement versus total costs (project portfolio management)
xPortfolio returns at varised versus (portfolio theory)

These potential portfolio solutions may be plotted to create a view of the "efficient frontier."



Identifying and understanding the efficient frontion extra bounds on the best project portfolios at a given budget leavand to assess the lost benefits or added costs associated with other than optimal portfolio selection.

As cost constraints are relaxed, additional or larger projects typically provide lower incremental returns is reflected in the flattening obs evv š Z ((]] v š (CE v š) CE v o e e v o e v o e v o

Characteristics of Successful Project Portfolios

Successful project portfolios:

```
x ••μCE ‰CE}i š• CE o]Pv Á]šZ v }CEP v]Ìš]}v[••šCE š P] ]CE š]
xAre based on a soupprocettfolio decision process
```

xComprise projects that are resilient to the effects of uncertainties embedded in the project selec process

xRecognize the shift in constraints as one moves from a project to program context

Constraints Shift Under Pro gvlæm agement		
Project	Program	
Scope	Alignment withtrategic business objective	
Schedule	Requiredresources	
Cost	Benefits	

Successful project portfolios recognize the critical aspect of the project selection process is represe by the quality of the decisions made.

Conclusion

Objectivedriven, biasfree project portfolio analysis and selectide the owner and program manager with another tool to:

xBuild organizational alignment

xUnderstand program sensitivities to changes in acceptable risk levels and profile xUnderstand the influence of budget and other constraints on benefit. maximization xIdentify project priorities, sequeraridgeffects of interdependencies and synergies xEstablish an appropriate set of critical.controls

For Further Readint Executive Insights

The Importance of Strategic Business Objectives Trust Know What You Almying to AccomplisThe Primacy of the Scope Baseline

References

Markowitz, H.MPortfolio Selectio The Journal of Finande (1): 7791. doi:10.2307/2975974. http://jstor.org/stable/297104areh 1925

Strategic Program Management; published by the Construction Management Association of America (CMAA); ISBN 9708981561-2-9; July 24, 2008

Topics in Strategic Program Management; ISBN 5972888-35; July 2010

Project Selection in Large Engineeristruction Programs; World TodayVol. XIIIssue V; May 2011

About the Author

Bob Prieto was elected to the National Academy of Constructerisina 2001 for executive who is effective in shaping and executing business strategygmideal leader within the infrastructure, engineering, and construction industries.