Each capital project involves multiple types of f nancial outlay. Those expenses can fall into one of several classif cations, with some of the more common ones being capital expenditures (CAPEX), sustaining capital projects (SUSCAP), and operating expenditures (OPEX).

Because of the immense size and sophistication of many of today's capital projects, how you address and manage these expenses in the near and long term can have consequences that affect a company's f nancial health.

Let's look at each expense classif cation and how to approach them.

CAPEX

Capital expenditures consist of costs for — or investments in — tangible, f xed assets that are primarily new. So naturally, these will have a higher price tag than other expenditure types.

This can be a broad category. Here, you'll f nd more permanent things like a plant or a facility (whether a purchase or new construction) and land property. It's also where you'd classify necessary upgrades or complete renovations, such as outf tting a structure with current energy-eff cient technologies or SUSCAP is a form of capital expenditure that includes costs of bringing equipment and facilities up to regulatory standards or replacing or f xing outdated, worn, or damaged structures or equipment to maintain capacity and functionality. They're not considered additional capabilities or functionalities, nor are they meant to improve them. Instead, they're intended to keep these at current levels, preserving the value of the depreciable asset while keeping downtime to a minimum. Another scenario to consider, if industry rules allow, involves both capital and operating expenditures. Let's say you have equipment past its prime at an existing facility and want to replace it. You could classify the equipment's removal as OPEX and its replacement installation as CAPEX. It's a creative way of deciding how to classify expenditures.

OPEX

What is built must be maintained. Operating expenses keep the capital asset, in whatever form, going each day. As such, these expenses are considered intangible. Think trailer and off ce rentals, wages, administrative costs, taxes, fees, and utilities. These shorter-term overhead expenses — typically having a usable lifespan of less than a year — are incurred and paid within a payment cycle rather than depreciating over time, like CAPEX costs.

Individually, the dollar value of these expenses is often far less than CAPEX; however, they can add up. For project owners, OPEX will likely represent the bulk of the costs needed to maintain the built structure throughout its functional life.

Expenditure Classification Decisions: Confusion or Strategy?

Sounds pretty cut and dried. Until it's not. Things can get fuzzy, or at least interesting, in how expenses are classified and reported when you realize some can straddle CAPEX and OPEX categories. But what may look at first glance as a conundrum could actually be strategic in some cases. How so? Look at the short-term and long-term implications and how they align with your project's financial goals.

Let's say you need a major asset such as a vehicle, machinery, or computer equipment. If you want to limit your capital expenditures over time, then leasing it (OPEX) may be preferable to buying it (CAPEX). Or, if you prefer to hold onto your operating cash reserves, purchasing the asset through external f nancing (CAPEX) may make more sense than paying for it out of your operating budget.

About the Article

This article is written by InEight Blog Editors and republished from the <u>InEight</u> <u>Behind the Build online blog</u>.

InEight provides f eld-tested construction project management software for the owners, contractors, engineers and architects who are building the world around us. Customers worldwide rely on InEight for real-time insights that help manage risk and keep projects on schedule and under budget across the entire life cycle.

Any views and opinions expressed in this article may or may not refect the views and opinions of the Construction Management Association of America (CMAA). By publishing this piece, CMAA is not expressing endorsement of the individual, the article, or their association, organization, or company.